UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,025	03/22/2004	Zia Ur Rehman	200315570-1	2239
	7590 04/09/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			CAIN, EDWARD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/807,025	REHMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
	Air Oille		

	Edward J. Cain	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, which	phoveric leter. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejectio	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1	•	126(a) and the appropriat	o ovtonoion foo
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS		20 (b (d b -	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowant) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in between the company of the co		ducing or cimplifying th	aa jaayaa far
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially re-	auding of simplifying ti	ie issues ioi
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		il be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8,9,11-19,21-26,28 and 29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	before or on the date of filing a Ni	ation of Apparal will not	be entered
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Edward J. Cain/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 1796	
	=		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments concerning the rejection under 35 USC 102 focus on the allegation that the Wang reference does not properly teach acid functional pigments. Applicants' attention is directed to column 3, lines 55-65 of Wang et al. Applicants' have further argued that the frequency range of jettability of the inks and the frequency capability of the ink jet heads are not inherent to the compositions and apparatus of the prior art. These arguments potentially raise issues of enablement under 35 USC 112 first paragraph. If, for example, the compositions of the Wang et al reference are not inherently jettable over applicants' claimed frequency range even though they meet the chemical composition requirements, then applicants need point to where in their specification it is taught how this jettability is achieved over and above slecting the compositional makeup as claimed.
